

Clarification to Prospective Bidder's query:

Sl.No.	Bid Condition	Bidder's Query	NEEPCO's Reply
1	<p>Clause 1.1 of Detailed NIB</p> <p>i) (a) The bidder shall be a manufacturer of PV modules in India and should have manufactured and supplied the offered PV module of type (poly-crystalline silicon) and power rating of at least 300Wp. The offered PV module of type and rating should have been type tested as per IEC 61215 standards in an independent laboratory.</p> <p>b) The bidder /manufacturer of the offered solar PV modules must have already supplied solar PV modules of type (Poly-crystalline silicone) and power rating 240Wp or above, which is in successful operation for at least 1(one) year in last 7(seven) years as on the date of opening of techno-commercial bid.</p>	<p>With reference to the above tender, please note that clause No. 1.1 i) a) states that the "PV module Manufacturer should have manufactured and supplied the offered PV module type of (poly-crystalline silicon) and power rating of at least 300Wp", whereas in the clause No. 1.1 i) b) states that "offered solar PV modules Manufacturer must have already supplied solar PV modules of type (poly-crystalline silicon) and power rating 240Wp or above".</p> <p>The above two clauses are in contradiction to each other. However with ref. to your corrigendum No. 4 dated 28.01.2015 of NIB No. 118 dated 19.12.2014; we shall assume that clause No 1.1 i) a) shall also read as '240Wp' instead of '300Wp'. You are requested to confirm and clarify the same.</p>	<p>There is no contradiction between the referred clauses. Bid condition of NIB No.129 dtd:11/03/15 shall prevail.</p> <p>The corrigendum No.4 as mentioned is not related to NIB No.129 dtd:11/03/15.</p>