

Clarification No. 01 Dated 02.05.2014 to Bid Queries received from the Bidders against NIB No. 93 Dated 11.04.2014 for the work "Design, Fabrication, Supply, Erection and Commissioning of Spillway Gates operated by Rope Drum Hoist, Hoist Bridge including associated Civil works required for erection of the gates in Khandong Dam of Kopili Hydro Electric Project (275 MW), Umrongso, Assam".

Sl. No.	Bid Queries raised by the Bidders	Clause as per Bid Stipulation	Clarification by NEEPCO
1.	<p>From sub clause 6.5(g) it is evident that subsidiary company can form JV/Consortium with other company on the basis of Parent Company's strength. Whereas sub clause 6.5(c) does not speak about the formation of JV/Consortium by subsidiary company. Therefore request to modify sub clause 6.5(c) in line with the provision of sub clause 6.5(g), as requested below:</p> <p><b>Modified Clause</b>  <b>Clause No. 6.5(c):</b>  <i>The Subsidiary company who is meeting criteria given in 6.2(a) and/or 6.2(b) and 6.3 on the strength of parent company, can form a joint venture with other company who is meeting criteria given in 6.2(a) and /or 6.2(b). However, both JV/ Consortium partners should collectively fulfill the qualification criteria given in 6.1, 6.2 &amp; 6.3.</i></p>	<p><b>Clause No. 6.5(c) of Vol-I, Part-I:</b>  The Parent and Subsidiary company should collectively meet the relevant qualifying criteria indicated at Clause 6.1, 6.2 &amp; 6.3 above by themselves and should not rely for meeting these qualifying criteria on their sister subsidiary/co-sub subsidiary company or through any other arrangement like Technical Collaboration agreement etc.</p>	<p>The qualifying requirement stipulated under Clause 6.5 of Vol-I, Part-I does not restrict subsidiary company forming a joint venture on the strength of its parent company. Subsidiary company can form a JV/ Consortium fulfilling the qualification criteria stipulated at Clause 6.1, 6.2 &amp; 6.3 and the requirement of Joint Venture bidders stipulated at Clause 6.4. Accordingly, the bid stipulation shall prevail.</p>
2.	<p>As per Clause No 6.1(ii) The bidders (Individual/Company/Firm) by itself or as Lead Partner in a JV/Consortium should qualify one of the following during the period of 10 years ending on the date in which this NIB is floated.</p> <p>Have executed at least one Hydro-Mechanical Work valued at ₹ 31.00 Crore or more. Or,  Have executed at least two Hydro-Mechanical Works each valued at ₹ 19.4 Crore or more. Or,  Have executed at least three Hydro-Mechanical Works each valued at ₹ 15.5 Crore or more.</p> <p>Here we have following queries regarding this Qualifying Requirements  1) If we have executed, for one of example, the HM Work of Bembla Project, valued Rs. 20.2189 Cr. In year 2007-08.  So in this case can we get weightage (of 10% per year) in its value, making up dated as on 2013-14 to Rs. 35.8186 Cr.  We request you to kindly confirm if such weight-age is permitted to work out the above Qualifying requirement.</p>	<p><b>Clause 6.1(ii) of Vol-I, Part-I:</b>  The bidders (Individual/Company/Firm) by itself or as Lead Partner in a JV/Consortium should qualify one of the following during the period of 10 years ending on the date in which this NIB is floated.  have executed at least one Hydro-Mechanical Work valued at ₹ 31.00 Crore or more. Or,  have executed at least two Hydro-Mechanical Works each valued at ₹19.4 Crore or more. Or,  have executed at least three Hydro-Mechanical Works each valued at ₹15.5 Crore or more.</p>	<p>No incremental weightage on value of work executed in the past shall be allowed. The bid stipulation shall prevail.</p>